

Publication ethics and malpractice statement

Publisher of the “Ocalone Dziedzictwo Archeologiczne” (“Saved Archaeological Heritage”) series adheres to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE). The COPE’s code of conduct guidelines are available here: <http://www.publicationethics.org>

Profil-Archeo has no tolerance for academic misconduct, including duplicate publication, plagiarism, ghost authorship, guest authorship, fake external reviewer suggestions, fabrication of data, etc. All manuscripts are investigated meticulously regarding any potential unethical conduct. Authors are asked to provide necessary details of contributions made to their manuscripts, as well as inform the editor of sources of the publication's financing, if applicable.

Editor’s responsibilities

Editor-in-Chief of the series is responsible for deciding which of the manuscripts should be published. Editors and reviewers treat all manuscripts as confidential documents do not show to or discussed with others except if authorized by the editor. The editor evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to the nature of the authors or the host institution. The editors and editorial staff must not disclose any information about manuscripts to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, and other editorial advisers as appropriate. In the case of a misconduct investigation, the editor-in-chief may disclose material to third parties.

When genuine errors in published work are pointed out by readers, authors, or editors, a correction will be published as soon as possible. If the error makes the work or substantial parts of it inappropriate, the monograph/chapter should be retracted with an explanation as to the reason for retraction.

If serious concerns are raised by readers, reviewers, or others, about the conduct, validity, or reporting of academic work, the editor-in-chief will initially contact the authors and allow them to respond to the concerns. If that response is unsatisfactory, the series editor will take this to the institutional level. Editor-in-chief may decide to retract a manuscript if a serious misconduct has happened even if an investigation by an institution or national body does not recommend it.

Reviewers’ responsibilities

Peer review assists the editor in making editorial decisions and through the editorial communications with the author may also assist the author in improving the book. Reviewers are expected to provide constructive comments on the manuscript that help the author(s) to revise the manuscript in higher standards and quality. Reviewers that feel unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor and excuse himself from the review process. The reviewers should treat as confidential document any manuscripts received for review. They manuscript should not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editor.

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Reviewers should express their views clearly with supporting arguments. Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. A reviewer should also call to the editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

Authors' responsibilities

Authors of reports of original research should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the manuscript. A book/chapter should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to evaluate the work. Authors are asked to provide the raw data in connection with a paper for editorial review, and should be prepared to provide public access to such data and should in any event be prepared to retain such data for a reasonable time after publication.

“Profil-Archeo” checks the originality of the manuscripts basis of the experience and knowledge of the current literature by editorial staff and/or by means of the relevant antiplagiarism tools (on-line and off-line). Authors should ensure that submitted work is original and has not been published elsewhere in any language, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of others that this has been appropriately cited or quoted. Applicable copyright laws and conventions should be followed. Copyright material (e.g. tables, figures or extensive quotations) should be reproduced only with appropriate permission and acknowledgement. Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given.

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be acknowledged or listed as contributors. The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate co-authors are included on the paper, and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

All of the individuals or organizations that made a contribution to the work but they do not meet the criteria for authorship, should be acknowledged in the acknowledgments section of the manuscript.

All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the series editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the contribution.